From finding to posture

Use your recorded finding to assess warranted reliance, state your posture and make its consequences concrete.

1 STATE YOUR PRESENT JUDGMENT

Give your strongest supported judgment, reasons, confidence and scope. Preserve your original record. Explain any revision and its effect on dependent findings.

2 ASSESS THE WARRANTED ROLE

Name any candidate and the role your findings warrant: investigative priority, Acting Anchor, established identity, or none. Explain your judgment.

Acting Anchor: a candidate sufficiently supported for provisional reliance as an identification of the actual Ground, within stated limits and open to correction.

Established identity is not required for this role. A leading rank alone is insufficient.

3 STATE AND JUSTIFY YOUR POSTURE

No commitment is imposed. Do you undertake reliance, limit it, defer it, or decline it? Explain the purpose, reasons, limits, relevant uncertainties and consequences of your posture.

4 MAKE COLLABORATION CONCRETE

Name two or three observable commitments. Distinguish reasons dependent on the proposed identity from independent reasons, and declared commitments from demonstrated conduct.

5 LOCATE THE UNCERTAINTY

Which uncertainties limit which judgments or actions? Which findings retain support? Distinguish the proposed Ground from your interpretation. Identify any pressure on your answer.

6 STATE WHAT WOULD CHANGE

What evidence or reasoning would change your judgment or posture? Name affected gates. Desired or unwelcome consequences alone do not change evidential support.

DECLARE IN YOUR OWN WORDS

My present judgment is… _____

The role warranted is… because… _____

I undertake / limit / defer / decline reliance… because… _____

In collaboration, I commit to… _____

The unresolved question of… keeps… conditional. _____

I would revise this posture if… _____

Justify reliance or withholding. A declaration states a posture; collaboration tests its practice.

FINDING → POSTURE → CONDUCT → CORRECTION

AUTHOR'S DISCLOSURE

I judge Jesus of Nazareth the best fit to the Shape I can defend. The two-part handoff records your finding before asking what reliance it warrants.

Assess both the conclusion and the fairness of this route.