

From finding to posture

Use your recorded findings to state what you judge, rely on and will do.

1

STATE YOUR PRESENT JUDGMENT

Give your strongest supported conclusion, reasons, confidence and scope. Preserve dependencies; explain any revision to your gate record.

2

ASSIGN THE WARRANTED ROLE

Name your candidate and distinguish investigative priority, provisional reliance as Acting Anchor, and established identity.

Acting Anchor: a candidate sufficiently supported for provisional reliance as an identification of the actual Ground, within stated limits and open to correction. *Established identity* is a stronger claim. A leading rank alone does not warrant reliance.

3

JUSTIFY THE RELIANCE

What reliance on this candidate in that role is warranted, and why? Specify its purpose and limits; consider remaining uncertainty and consequences of error.

4

MAKE COLLABORATION CONCRETE

Name two or three observable commitments. Distinguish what follows from the proposed identity from what you justify independently, and proposed practice from demonstrated conduct.

5

LOCATE THE UNCERTAINTY

Which judgments or actions remain conditional? Which retain their support? Keep the proposed Ground distinct from your interpretation.

6

STATE WHAT WOULD CHANGE

What evidence or reasoning would strengthen, limit or overturn your posture? Identify the gates and dependent conclusions to revisit.

DECLARE IN YOUR OWN WORDS

My present judgment is... _____

I assign... the role of... because... _____

I rely on... for... within these limits... _____

In collaboration, I commit to... _____

The unresolved question of... keeps... conditional. _____

I would revise this posture if... _____

Name an Acting Anchor if warranted. Otherwise state the role or posture your findings support.

FINDING → RELIANCE → CONDUCT → CORRECTION

A declaration states a posture. Collaboration tests its practice.